IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Altariq Khalil Williams,	Civil Action No.: 0:14-cv-1795-BHH
Plaintiff,	
v.)	OPINION AND ORDER
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02 for the District of South Carolina. The plaintiff Altariq Khalil Williams ("the plaintiff"), brought this action seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying the plaintiff's claim for supplemental security income.

On April 13, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation in which she recommended that the Commissioner's decision be affirmed. (ECF No. 15.) Neither party filed objections and the time for doing so expired on April 30, 2015.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to him with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district

0:14-cv-01795-BHH Date Filed 05/04/15 Entry Number 19 Page 2 of 2

court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is

no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.2005).

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concurs in the recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge. The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and

incorporates it herein by reference. The decision of the Commissioner to deny benefits

is affirmed without objection.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/ Bruce Howe Hendricks</u> United States District Judge

May 4, 2015 Greenville, South Carolina